



FILED

09-24-07
08:27 AM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for a Commission Finding that its Procurement-Related and Other Operations for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2006 Complied with its Adopted Procurement Plan; for Verification of its Entries in the Energy Resource Recovery Account and Other Regulatory Accounts; and for Recovery of \$4,863 Million Recorded in Four Memorandum Accounts.

Application 07-04-001
(Filed April 2, 2007)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
ON SUBMISSION OF PROCEEDING FOR DECISION**

This ruling memorializes the agreements reached at the telephone conference held on September 20, 2007.

- The Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) and Southern California Edison Company (SCE) agree that evidentiary hearings are not necessary.
- At a meeting held on September 19, 2007, SCE staff provided DRA staff with information addressing DRA's concerns regarding the forced outages at Palo Verde Unit 1 during the review period. Accordingly, DRA agrees that its August 27, 2007 motion, requesting bifurcation of this proceeding, is now moot.
- SCE shall file a motion requesting that SCE's prepared testimony served in this proceeding be received into evidence (Rule 13.8).

- SCE shall file a Motion to Seal, requesting that the confidential version of its prepared testimony be filed under seal (Rules 11.4 and 11.5).
- DRA shall file a motion requesting that its prepared testimony served in this proceeding be received into evidence (Rule 13.8).
- In opening and reply briefs, parties may address their concerns regarding the forced outages at Palo Verde Unit 1 and the replacement power purchased as a result of the outages during the record period.
- The comment period on the administrative law judge's proposed decision shall be reduced to 10 days for opening comments and five days for reply comments (Rule 14.6(c)(9)).
- Opening briefs shall be filed on October 12, 2007. Reply briefs shall be filed on October 24, 2007.
- In its opening brief, SCE shall provide proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and ordering paragraphs. DRA may comment on these in its reply brief.
- This proceeding shall be submitted for decision upon the filing of reply briefs on October 24, 2007 (Rule 13.14).

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated September 24, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BERTRAM D. PATRICK

Bertram D. Patrick
Administrative Law Judge

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated September 24, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ELIZABETH LEWIS

Elizabeth Lewis